





Withdrawal from Long-acting-injectable buprenorphine (LAIB): Findings from a residential case series

Albatros 2025 Paris, France

Prof Nicholas Lintzeris South Eastern Sydney Local Health District & University of Sydney Nicholas.lintzeris@health.nsw.gov.au

Acknowledgements & COI

- Funding support for investigator-led study from Camurus AB, manufacturer of Buvidal
- Study Sponsor: South Eastern Sydney Local Health District
- Approved by SESLHD Human Research Ethics Committee: (HREC: #ETH01924)
- Investigators::Nicholas Lintzeris (SESLHD, USyd), Carolyn Stubley (WHOS), Gaye Byron (WHOS), Vicky Hayes (SESLHD), Llewellyn Mills (USyd, SESLHD), Andrew Smogonyi (University Adelaide), Rick Wassing (USyd, Woolcock)
- Thank you to all the staff and clients of participating services (WHOS, Langton Centre)
- **COI**: N Lintzeris has received honoraria from Camurus and Indivior for presenting at conferences, and his organisation has received research funding from Camurus

Drug and Alcohol Dependence Reports 15 (2025) 100329



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Drug and Alcohol Dependence Reports

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dadr

Characterizing withdrawal from long-acting injectable buprenorphine: An observational case series

Victoria Hayes^{a,1}, Llewellyn Mills^{a,b,c,2,1,*}, Gaye Byron^d, Carolyn Stubley^d, Eleanor Black^e, Benjamin T. Trevitt^a, Andrew A. Somogyi^f, Arshman Sahid^{a,b}, Nicholas Lintzeris^{a,b,c}

Background: Stopping OAT

- We do not have effective ways of assisting patients to stop opioid agonist treatment
- Stopping Methadone and Sublingual Buprenorphine (SL BPN)
 - SL Buprenorphine and methadone usually involves gradual dose tapering over time a slow process with high rates of relapse (estimated >85% within 6 months¹)
 - Withdrawal can be severe / prolonged, often peaks 1-2 weeks after last methadone / SL BPN dose
 - Expectancy important for many patients: fearful of dose reductions
 - Many patients get stuck in OAT due to "fear of withdrawal"
- Most patients have strong desire to eventually come off opioid treatment²
 - Poor experience of withdrawal planning: most common way of stopping treatment is "jumping off"; and most services lose contact with patients after last dose (prior to peak withdrawal)
- Little research attention to improving treatment cessation outcomes

1 Lenné M, Lintzeris N, et al. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-6405.2001.tb01832.x.

² Winstock, Lintzeris, Lea. doi: 10.1016/j.drugpo.2010.08.001.

The 'potential' of LAIB in withdrawal

- Increasing use of LAIB for treatment of opioid dependence
 - Weekly or monthly SC injection
 - Most common form of BPN treatment of opioid dependence in Australia
- **Pharmacology**: Gradual reduction in plasma levels over time (a gradual slope rather than incremental steps)
- Expectancy: Client does not have to adjust to 'no more daily dosing' or fear upcoming dose reductions



Questions re: Withdrawal from LAIB:

- When will withdrawal commence?
- How severe will it be?
- When will symptoms peak and how long will they last?
- Are withdrawal outcomes better than stopping methadone / SL BPN?

Study hypothesis and objectives

Hypothesis: that cessation of LAIB treatment will result in a mild opiate withdrawal syndrome with minimal increase in cravings or deterioration in health

Study objectives:

- <u>Primary objective</u>: To characterize the onset, severity and duration of opiate withdrawal syndrome upon cessation of Buvidal 64mg Monthly dose in a long-term residential setting (primary endpoint)
- Secondary objectives:
- 1. To examine general health parameters and participant experiences of stopping LAIB treatment
- 2. To compare withdrawal features to patients withdrawing from SL BPN treatment in the same environment

Study Design

- Open-label case series comparing withdrawal outcomes in two parallel groups of participants: LAIB patients (n=15) and SL BPN patients (n=15)
- **Setting**: a 16-week residential rehabilitation unit specializing in assisting patients to stop opioid agonist treatment (methadone, BPN)
- **Participants**: ≥ 18 years-old; in continuous BPN treatment > 6 months (at least 2 months on LAIB or SL BPN); no severe comorbid health conditions or withdrawing from other drugs
- Dose reductions:
 - LAIB: 1 dose of 64mg Buvidal Monthly SC (day 1 of study)
 - SL BPN: Gradual dose taper to 'zero' over first 8 weeks
- **Rescue medications:** access to limited doses of paracetamol/ibuprofen, metoclopramide, temazepam, loperamide, hyoscine
- Other aspects of care: routine activities of program: daily group activities, supportive counselling, peer and professional staffing

Outcomes and measures

- Withdrawal severity: Clinical Opioid Withdrawal Scale (COWS), Subjective Opioid Withdrawal Scale (SOWS), Objective Opioid Withdrawal Scale (OOWS)
- Cravings: Opioid Cravings Scale
- **General health**: PROMIS-29 (physical function, anxiety, depression, pain, fatigue, sleep subscales)
- **Sleep**: Objective (actigraphy) and subjective (ISI; sleep diary)
- Client satisfaction: TSQM and ratings of client preference
- **Biological measures**: urine and blood samples for BPN levels

Results: recruitment and retention

- Only 2 participants recruited on SL BPN arm: both participants discharged against medical advice on reaching Omg BPN (weeks 8, 9)
- 25 participants enrolled on Buvidal arm and administered 64mg dose
 - N=10 withdrew from study prior to reaching week 4 after last dose (n=4 administrative discharge, n=6 self-discharge)
 - N=15 continued beyond week 4 after last dose and included in data analysis



Withdrawal and cravings



- Most participants experienced minimal or mild withdrawal symptoms, peaking during weeks 5-8
- Peak COWS
 - 67% (n=10) < mild (<5)
 - 33% (n=5) mild (5-12)
- Peak SOWS
 - 60% (n=9) mild (<16)
 - 40% (n=6) mod (16-32)

General health, rescue medications



PROMIS-29

- ---- Social function
- + Physical Function
- Anxiety
- ✤ Depression
- → Fatigue
- ➡ Sleep Disturbance
- ← Pain Interference



Consumer perspectives

Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire Medication



Overall experience of withdrawal compared to prior attempts (n=10) 6/10: Very much better 3/10: Much better 1/10: A little better 0/10: No different 0/10: Worse

Effectiveness

Side Effects

Global Satisfaction

Comparing LAIB (n=15) v SL BPN (n=2)



Study strengths and limitations

- Small numbers (n=15) may not capture 'outliers'
- Residential unit setting
 - allowed for assessment of withdrawal and cravings without confounders of other substance use / environmental 'triggers'
 - but not a 'real-world' examination of outcomes following cessation OAT (relapse to opioid use, other substance use)
- Limited 'comparison group': inability to recruit SL BPN patients ...
 - Further research is needed to compare cessation LAIB v SL BPN outcomes (cessation rates, substance use, health, withdrawal severity, cravings)
 - Nevertheless, experience of withdrawal from LAIB appears to be different to stopping SL BPN

Questions re: Withdrawal from LAIB:

- ✓ When will withdrawal commence?
- ✓ How severe will it be?
- When will symptoms peak and how long will they last?
- ? Are withdrawal outcomes better than stopping methadone / SL BPN?

Conclusions

- Our findings suggest that withdrawal from LAIB is milder than stopping SL BPN or methadone treatment
- We examined cessation from 64mg Buvidal Monthly.
 - Would findings be different if stopping higher Buvidal doses?
 - Should patients lower their dose prior to stopping (e.g. from 160, 128 or 96mg)
- Should we consider transferring patients on methadone or SL BPN to LAIB when planning cessation of OAT treatment?
 - A milder withdrawal syndrome does not necessarily mean better treatment outcomes
 - Definitive clinical trials are needed!
- We need to develop better clinical approaches to support patients to successfully exit OAT – particularly important as we have an ageing cohort of patients on OAT, and can harness new technologies such as telehealth